## DECISION



## THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

60367

FILE:

B-185337

DATE: January 5, 1976

MATTER OF:

Dynamic International, Inc.

<u>136</u>1 -:=2

DIGEST:

Protest concerning propriety of average annual receipts requirement for concern to be considered small business is not for consideration by GAO since conclusive authority over that question is vested by statute in SBA.

Dynamic International, Inc. (Dynamic) protests the imposition of a \$1 million size standard on small business set-aside Invitation for Bids DAKF40-76-B-0055 for refuse collection at Fort Bragg, North Carolina. Dynamic contends that the work to be performed should be classified as "local trucking" thereby making a \$7 million average annual receipts requirement applicable to the procurement.

Recently, in Brooks-Berry-Haynie & Associates, B-184707, September 29, 1975, 75-2 CPD 199, we declined to consider a similar protest on the basis that conclusive authority over the question of size classification issues is vested in the Small Business Administration (SBA) which has promulgated regulations having the force and effect of law to resolve such matters.

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 637(b)(6), the Small Business Administration (SBA) is empowered to determine a business concerns's size status for procurement purposes. Offices of the Government having procurement powers must accept as conclusive SBA's determination as to which concerns are to be designated small business. In discharge of this responsibility, SBA has promulgated regulations, which have the force and effect of law (Otis Steel Products Corps. v. United States, 161 Ct. Cl. 694 (1963)), found at part 121 of chapter I of CFR title 13.

Section 121.3-8, "Definition of small business for Government procurement," states in part that,

"\* \* The determination of the appropriate classification of a product or service shall be made by the contracting officer. Both classification and the applicable size standard (number of employees, average annual receipts, etc.) shall be set forth in the solicitation and such determination of the contracting officer shall be final unless spealed in the manner provided in section 121.3-6. \* \* \* " (Emphasis added.)

Section 121.3-6(a) provides that the Size Appeals Board shall review appeals from determinations made pursuant to section 121.3-8 and shall make final decisions as to whether such determinations should be affirmed, reversed, or modified. Section 121.3-6(b)(1) (iii) provides that an appeal may be filed with the Size Appeals Board by any concern or interested party which has been adversely affected by a decision of a contracting officer regarding classification. The time for filing an appeal is set forth in section 121.3-6(b)(3)(ii) which provides that:

"An appeal from a product classification determination by a contracting officer may be taken: (a) Not less than 10 days, exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, before bid opening day or deadline for submitting proposals or quotations, in cases wherein the bid opening date or last date to submit proposals or quotations is more than 30 days after the issuance of the invitation for bids or request for proposals or quotations, or (b) not less than five (5) days, exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, before the bid opening day or deadline for submitting proposals or quotations, in cases wherein the bid opening date or last date to submit proposals or quotations is 30 or less days after the issuance of the invitation for bids or request for proposals or quotations, \* \* \*"

An opportunity for reconsideration by the Size Appeals Board is provided in section 121.3-6(g) (5) which states that the decision of

the Size Appeals Board shall constitute the final administrative remedy of SBA. Armed Services Procurement Regulation (ASPR) 1-703(c) (1) and (2) repeat the provisions of 12 CFR 121.3-8 and 121.3-6(b)(3)(ii), respectively, recited above. When viewed in conjunction with 15 U.S.C. 637(b)(6) and ASPR, the SBA regulations clearly establish it as the sole adjudicator of the size standard issue in question. See 53 Comp. Gen. 434 (1973); National Electrical Contractors Association, B-181511, July 15, 1974, 74-2 CPD 29.

Accordingly, this Office must decline to consider the protest.

Sincerely yours,

Paul G. Dembling General Counsel

melelius